

Report on Collaboration meeting

DATE:	2017/11/07	OBJECT:	Collaboration Meeting
N/REF:	IDEAAL/2017.02	LOCATION:	Paris, France
PREPARED BY:	K. Turzó	AFFILIATED DOCUMENTS:	

INSTITUTION	GANIL	CNRS	CEA	GSI	IFJ PAN	Nucleopolis
PARTICIPANTS	N. Alahari B. Franel M. Grar S. Jacquet S. Lecerf-Rossard M. Lewitowicz C. Merlin M.H. Moscatello V. Rocton K. Turzó	F. Farget A. Mariau	N. Alamanos P. Debu S. Leray	H. Simon S. Utermann	A. Maj	N. Renard
NON PARTICIPANTS	H. Goutte S. Dubromel N. Ménard G. Sénécal V. Vandevorde		M. Faury		B. Fornal K. Mazurek	S. Bouffard E. Duval

TOPIC	SPEAKER
<u>Welcome address</u>	M. Lewitowicz
<p><u>Introduction</u> See corresponding presentation.</p> <p>The next ESFRI evaluation of SPIRAL2 will happen just after the IDEAAL project. The internationalisation of GANIL-SPIRAL2 appears very important to stay on the ESFRI list.</p> <p>The MoU's to sign with partners are prepared at GANIL. The preliminary text is sent to CEA and CNRS. Once the text is agreed, it is sent to the international partner.</p> <p>Workshop with Chinese colleagues: Reynald Pain will participate (his agenda is booked), someone from CEA/DRF should also participate.</p> <p>There will be a presentation of IDEAAL project during the next CODIR (Comité de Direction = Management Committee).</p>	M. Lewitowicz
<p><u>WP1</u> See corresponding presentation.</p> <p>Adam Maj is Task 4 leader (WP2) and Bogdan Fornal the official representative of IFJ PAN at IDEAAL General Assembly.</p> <p>The General Assembly members vote modifications of Grant Agreement and Consortium Agreement, and periodic reports.</p> <p><i>Next action:</i> Financial status of the IDEAAL institutions to be presented and discussed during the next IDEAAL collaboration meeting (Spring 2018).</p>	K. Turzó
<p><u>WP2</u> See corresponding presentations.</p> <p>The funding for SPIRAL2 Phase 2 is not granted.</p> <p><u>Task 1:</u> A MoU between IFJ PAN and ILL was already achieved. It can be an example for a MoU between IFJ PAN and GANIL.</p> <p>The model presented for FAIR is not yet agreed by all FAIR partners. The details of FAIR organisation are not easy to get as some agreements are not finalized yet. The end-stations are funded by collaborations.</p> <p>ISOLDE is for CERN a single experiment as S3 for GANIL. ISOLDE members pay running costs in addition to the contribution to CERN. CERN member contributions are based on national income. It is independent from the number of users. In contrary, contributions to CERN experiments depend on the number of users. To propose an experiment at ISOLDE, you have to be member of the collaboration or to find a spokesperson who belongs to the ISOLDE collaboration.</p> <p>Pascal: at ILL, the selection is first made on scientific excellence. Only afterwards, it is checked if the percentages are respected. If not, efforts are made towards countries that did not reach the foreseen percentage to improve the quality of</p>	F. Farget A. Mariau

<p>proposals.</p> <p>Fanny thinks that the current study of other facilities could be first discussed with CODIR.</p> <p>Nicolas considers that FAIR case is the closest one to GANIL case. Adam points out a difference: the international partners of FAIR are “owners” of FAIR.</p> <p>Nicolas: difficult to apply percentage based on number of users in GANIL case. For instance, only a small number of CEA physicists go to GANIL.</p> <p>Navin: GANIL needs 6 additional operators to run cyclotrons and SPIRAL2 in parallel, in order to reach a total of 24 operators.</p> <p>5 year contract: inspired by ILL model – considered as an easy, flexible model to contribute to GANIL.</p> <p>“Small” contributors may form consortia to reach the defined threshold of contribution.</p> <p>Question of participation in committee, especially the PAC.</p> <p>Call for tenders: the European rules have to be followed but companies and institutions from partner countries can be informed specially.</p> <p>Privileges according to financial contribution: by voting rights (complicated and long process) or to propose a priority access for associate partners whose experiment has been selected by the PAC. It may be not possible to overcome the organisation by campaign or the need of specific beam.</p> <p>Possible minimum threshold: 400 k€/year (this number is not decided!)</p> <p><u>Task 2:</u> Call for experts/consultants specialised in fundraising: specifications already written. The next steps are to launch the call and to choose the consultant.</p> <p>The budget for subcontracting (200 k€) is available but it is still at GANIL. We have to organise the transfer of budget and task to CEA.</p> <p><u>Task 3:</u> Sonia Utermann started on this task four months ago. UK: associated partner in FAIR, participation in meetings but without vote. Their contribution is below FAIR threshold (5%). They are free of the obligation to pay running costs.</p> <p>In 2010, FAIR costbook was approved by shareholders. The estimates were made from existing equipments. It was generally underestimated relatively to market prices. Nevertheless, the costbook was taken as basis for the calculation of member contribution.</p> <p>In-kind contract: if the country is not capable to do it, the contract has to be broken, you have to find another partner to provide the in-kind contribution and convince all shareholder (including the one of the former contract) that it is better.</p> <p>In-kind issues in MoUs with Poland and Belgium?</p> <p>Question to address: methodology to calculate past contributions from potential partners.</p> <p>Adam: in-kind contributions could be more important for Phase 2.</p>	<p>P. Debu / A. Rondaud</p> <p>S. Utermann / H. Simon</p>
--	---

<p><u>Task 4:</u> Kick-off meeting: done during Colloque GANIL 2017.</p>	A. Maj
<p><u>WP3</u> See corresponding presentations.</p> <p><u>Task 1:</u> New User Office: to be discussed with the new User Executive Committee. This User Office will allow optimizing the welcome administrative actions towards users. Navin: one could include the equipment logistic in the tasks of the New User Office. Marie-Hélène: Intellectual Property Rights do not concern industrial users.</p> <p><u>Task 2:</u> Electricity: in running costs, it corresponds to electricity for the machine when it is running. In MCO, the costs are related to the maintenance of electrical system.</p> <p><u>Task 3:</u> The questionnaire for GANIL users should be sent first to Adam Maj (WP2, Task 4) in order to optimize the questions and the diffusion. Nicolas Ménard, the Task Leader, is member of IT expert networks of IN2P3 and DRF (?). Benjamin Fusberti, recently recruited, may participate in workshops to diffuse the questionnaire.</p> <p><u>Task 4:</u> The recruitment of the quality engineer should start very soon in order to still have almost two years of contract to declare on IDEAAL project.</p> <p><u>Task 5:</u> See task 1</p>	<p>B. Franel C. Merlin</p> <p>V. Rocton</p>
<p><u>WP4</u> See corresponding presentation.</p> <p>The beam time dedicated to industrial applications in 2018 has to be decided in the coming months.</p> <p>The mapping of existing potential: non exhaustive in order to focus on specific topics and keep on time. This work will prepare future more detailed mapping.</p> <p>Connex impact: secondary impact. LLB: Laboratoire Léon Brillouin</p>	M.H. Moscatello
<p><u>WP5</u> See corresponding presentation.</p> <p>GANIL plan to promote how the collaboration is working together, in addition to usual GANIL communication.</p> <p>CERN website: good example for users. The web developer will study the user needs and other websites. However, very soon, it will be necessary to very well define what we want for GANIL user website.</p> <p>The issue of open access to all GANIL publications is currently treated at the level of CEA and CNRS.</p>	M. Grar
<p><u>AOB</u></p>	

Next meetings:

- Collaboration meeting: jointly with the EURORIB18 conference (May 27th – June 1st, 2018 – Giens, France)? A Doodle will be sent with the proposed dates.
- WP2 T4 – in-kind contributions: meeting in April-May 2018 at GANIL?