Report on Collaboration meeting

DATE: N/REF:	2018/08/27 IDEAAL/2018.02	OBJECT: LOCATION:	Collaboration Meeting videoconference
PREPARED BY:	K. Turzó	AFFILIATED DOCUMENTS:	

INSTITUTION	GANIL	CNRS	CEA	GSI	IFJ PAN	Nucleopolis
PARTICIPANTS	B. Franel M. Grar S. Lecerf-Rossard M. Lewitowicz M.H. Moscatello K. Turzó	L. Petersen	P. Debu	H. Simon S. Utermann	A. Maj	N. Renard
NON PARTICIPANTS	S. Dubromel V. Vandevoorde	F. Farget				E. Duval

TOPIC	SPEAKER
<u>Introduction</u> The report should be submitted on August 30th that is the official deadline.	M. Lewitowicz
<u>Discussion on first periodic report</u> See the document called IDEAAL_RP1_partB_v1_ML_clean.docx Questions from Marek and Fanny: • WP2 (executive summary): add highlights and difficulties from Fanny. • WP2 (objectives): should we keep regional and national academic institutions? Marek would like to keep the national institutions in the framework of IDEAAL, especially the university of Caen. • WP2 T3: Yorick remarks the sentence on GSI contribution to DESIR. Marek suggests to add that this contribution is part of GSI in-kind contribution. This will explain why it is mentioned in this section. • WP2 T4: PARIS collaboration connection with WP2? Adam underlines the connection through the importance of travelling detectors such as PARIS for the representation of users in GUEC. Laura reports Yorick's comment that the link between PARIS and IDEAAL should be clearer. Adam will add a sentence about PARIS being a travelling detector that will be represented in the GUEC. • WP4 (Executive summary): we are waiting for a decision from the director to validate the existing strategy. The word "new" will be removed on p.3 and on p.21 of current text. • WP4: is it possible to name the companies that IDEAAL team contacted? Marie-Hélène says it is not possible because there were informal contacts. We are not allowed to give names. In the Big Science Forum, there were B to B meetings. Marie-Hélène has to check if they were public. Otherwise, it is necessary to ask the authorization of the companies. • TransNational Access: institutions of members of selection panel? Marie-Hélène will provide this information. • TransNational Access: remove information on user meeting. Adam's comment on GUEC: the travelling detector collaborations (PARIS, AGATA, MUGAST, …) will be represented in the GUEC. Marek asks Laura to correct any wrong English sentence, especially the executive summary.	All
<u>Vote of the first periodic report</u> Unanimous vote of the scientific report. The financial report is not complete yet. Therefore, the pre-approval is voted unanimously and the final vote will be done by e-mail.	Members of IDEAAL General Assembly